## Chapter VII

Comparison of Sorption $\mathcal{A}$ bility and
Preferential Order of Adsorption

An insightful comparison amongst the identified biomaterials to trap the divalent metal ions viz., $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$, along with the preferential order of chosen metal ions to get chelated are exemplified in this chapter.

Sorbent characteristics viz., surface area, mean pore diameter and constants viz., $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (amount adsorbed), $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (monolayer adsorption capacity) and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ (change in entropy) for the nine systems are listed in table 7.1.

Table 7.1 Comparison of Parameters and Constants Values

| Parameters | TPJB |  |  | TTIH |  |  | TGH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface Area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}\right)$ | 3.261 |  |  | 0.325 |  |  | 3.633 |  |  |
| Mean Pore Diameter (nm) | 56.60 |  |  | 52.33 |  |  | 64.93 |  |  |
| Constants | Pb <br> (II) | Cd <br> (II) | Ni <br> (II) | Pb <br> (II) | Cd <br> (II) | Ni <br> (II) | Pb <br> (II) | Cd <br> (II) | Ni <br> (II) |
| $\mathrm{qe}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g})$ | 14.22 | 13.68 | 12.52 | 13.40 | 12.82 | 12.41 | 56.89 | 22.22 | 20.93 |
| $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g})$ | 14.78 | 13.92 | 13.63 | 14.10 | 12.87 | 12.34 | 56.02 | 21.34 | 18.82 |
| $\Delta S^{\circ}(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | 41.30 | 21.88 | 8.95 | 19.44 | 11.29 | 5.40 | 46.05 | 22.78 | 20.59 |

The highlighted values for $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ - TGH system reveal the better sorption capacity and high order of randomness in preference to other sorbents/ sorbates. This suggests that TGH is best amongst the identified materials and $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ is preferentially adsorbed over other divalent ions. This is supported by the retention capacity of TGH (5 cycles of adsorption/ desorption) against 3 cycles in case of TPJB and TTIH. Thence, the orders are: $\mathrm{TGH}>\mathrm{TPJB}>\mathrm{TTIH} ; \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})>\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})>\mathrm{Ni}($ II $)$ ions.

Pb (II) ion, being the better sorbed, is substantiated by the higher hydration enthalpy $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\circ}\right)^{169}$ and diffusion coefficient ${ }^{170}$ values (Table 7.2). Similar observations were reported by Muhammed H Al-Malack ${ }^{62}$ et al.

Table 7.2 Hydration Enthalpy and Diffusion Coefficient Values

| Metal Ions | Hydration Enthalpy $\Delta \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{h}}{ }^{\circ}$ <br> $(\mathbf{K J} / \mathbf{m o l})$ | Diffusion Coefficients <br> $\left(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{1 0}^{-\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ | $\mathbf{- 1 4 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 4 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ | -1807 | 7.20 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ | -2105 | 7.14 |

