

Acknowledgement

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost I am extremely thankful to **Dr. Nandini Rangaswamy** Chairperson of GRG Institutions, **Secretary, Principal and Management of PSGR Krishnammal College for Women** for the encouragement, and infrastructural support rendered to carry out the research. I extend my sincere thanks to Professor **S.Balasubramanian**, Director, GRG Centre for Advanced Studies and **Dr. P. Sadhasivam**, Dean, GRG School of Management Studies for their constant encouragement and support.

I express my special appreciation and thanks to my guide **Dr. B. Sripirabaa**, Associate Professor, Department of Management, PSGR Krishnammal College for Women, for her valuable time and patience and for the support extended in completing this research work. My gratitude and thanks to the faculties of the Department of Management and GRG School of Management Studies for their encouragement and inputs for my research.

I am very grateful to my family, especially for my father **Mr. M. Yavoo** and my mother **Mrs. U. Fathima**, who gave me unconditional support for completion of my research. A special thanks to my husband **Mr. A. Asif** and my brother **Mr. Y. Shehab** for their moral and technical support rendered to carry out my research work. It is their prayer and unconditional love that sustained me throughout my journey so far.

My thanks are to my friends and fellow research scholars for their valuable suggestions and inputs. I thank all the respondents for sparing their time to key in their responses.

(Y.BENAZIR)

Table of Contents

CONTENTS

| CHAPTER NO. | TITLE | PAGE NO. |
|-------------|--|----------|
| | LIST OF TABLES | ix |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xii |
| I | INTRODUCTION | |
| | 1.1 NEED FOR THE STUDY | 1 |
| | 1.2 THE INDIAN EDUCATION SYSTEM | 3 |
| | 1.3 WOMEN EDUCATION IN INDIA | 5 |
| | 1.4 RESEARCH GAP AND OPPORTUNITY | 7 |
| | 1.5 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM | 8 |
| | 1.6 CONCEPTS | 9 |
| | 1.7 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY | 14 |
| | 1.8 SCOPE OF THE STUDY | 14 |
| | 1.9 CHAPTER SCHEME | 15 |
| II | REVIEW OF LITERATURE | |
| | 2.1 PERSONALITY | 16 |
| | 2.2 LEARNING APPROACHES | 26 |
| | 2.3 CAREER PLANNING ATTITUDE | 41 |
| | 2.4 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT | |
| | 2.4.1 Personality traits and Learning approaches | 49 |
| | 2.4.2 Personality traits and Career planning attitude | 54 |
| | 2.4.3 Learning approaches and Career planning attitude | 58 |
| | 2.5 CONCLUDING REMARKS | 62 |

| CHAPTER NO. | TITLE | PAGE NO. |
|--------------------|---|-----------------|
| III | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | |
| | 3.1 RESEARCH PURPOSE | 63 |
| | 3.2 RESEARCH STRATEGY | 63 |
| | 3.3 RESEARCH APPROACH | 63 |
| | 3.4 INSTRUMENT VALIDATION | 63 |
| | 3.4.1 Questionnaire used for the study | 64 |
| | 3.4.2 Content Validity | 68 |
| | 3.4.3 Reliability of the constructs | 69 |
| | 3.4.4 Construct Validity | 71 |
| | 3.4.5 Criterion Validity | 73 |
| | 3.5 SAMPLING AND TARGET POPULATION | 75 |
| | 3.6 DATA COLLECTION | 76 |
| | 3.7 TOOLS USED FOR ANALYSIS | 76 |
| | 3.8 CONCLUDING REMARKS | 78 |
| IV | ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION | |
| | 4.1 DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF THE RESPONDENTS AND PERCEPTION OF THE RESPONDENTS ON THE STUDY VARIABLES | 80 |
| | 4.2 DIFFERENCES IN THE PERCEPTION OF RESPONDENTS ACROSS VARIED DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE | 100 |
| | 4.3 ASSOCIATION AMONG PERSONALITY, LEARNING APPROACHES AND CAREER PLANNING ATTITUDE OF FEMALE UNDER GRADUATE AND POST GRADUATE STUDENTS | 113 |
| | 4.4 MODERATING EFFECT OF CAREER PLANNING ATTITUDE BETWEEN PERSONALITY AND LEARNING APPROACHES - PLS SEM ANALYSIS | 128 |
| | 4.5 CONCLUDING REMARKS | 153 |

| CHAPTER NO. | TITLE | PAGE NO. |
|------------------------|--|---------------------|
| V | FINDINGS, SUGGESTIONS AND CONCLUSION | |
| | 5.1 FINDINGS OF THE STUDY | 155 |
| | 5.2 SUGGESTIONS | 167 |
| | 5.3 CONCLUSION | 169 |
| | 5.4 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY | 171 |
| | 5.5 SCOPE FOR FURTHER STUDY | 171 |
| | REFERENCES | |
| | APPENDIX I Questionnaire used for pilot study | |
| | APPENDIX II Questionnaire used for the study | |
| | PUBLICATIONS | |

List of Tables

LIST OF TABLES

| Table No. | Title | Page No. |
|-----------|---|----------|
| 3.1 | Measures used for the study | 67 |
| 3.2 | Reliability of the constructs | 70 |
| 3.3 | Convergent validity of the constructs | 72 |
| 3.4 | Discriminant measure of the constructs | 73 |
| 3.5 | Criterion validity of the constructs | 74 |
| 4.1 | Demographic profile of the respondents | 80 |
| 4.2 | Descriptive Statistics | 82 |
| 4.3 | Descriptive Statistics-Personality dimensions across respondents of varied age | 84 |
| 4.4 | Descriptive Statistics- Personality dimensions across respondents of varied under graduation and post graduation disciplines | 85 |
| 4.5 | Descriptive Statistics-Personality dimensions across respondents of varied location of Residence | 87 |
| 4.6 | Descriptive Statistics- Learning approach dimensions across respondents of varied age | 88 |
| 4.7 | Descriptive Statistics- Learning approach dimensions across respondents of varied under graduation and post graduation disciplines | 89 |
| 4.8 | Descriptive Statistics- Learning approach dimensions across respondents of varied location of residence | 90 |
| 4.9 | Descriptive Statistics-Career planning attitude dimensions across respondents of varied age | 91 |
| 4.10 | Descriptive Statistics- Career planning attitude dimensions across respondents of varied under graduation and post graduation disciplines | 92 |
| 4.11 | Descriptive Statistics- Career planning attitude dimensions across respondents of varied location of Residence | 93 |
| 4.12 | Cross Tabulation– Discipline and Location of residence of respondents | 94 |

| Table No. | Title | Page No. |
|------------------|---|-----------------|
| 4.13 | Average Score Analysis– Study Variables and Age | 95 |
| 4.14 | Average Score Analysis- Study Variables and disciplines | 96 |
| 4.15 | Average Score Analysis- Study Variables and Location of Residence | 98 |
| 4.16 | Consolidated table - Average Score Analysis across varied demographic profile | 99 |
| 4.17 | Analysis of Variance across respondents of varied age groups | 100 |
| 4.18 | Post hoc analysis – Strategic Learning and Age of the respondents | 103 |
| 4.19 | Analysis of Variance across respondents of varied under graduate disciplines | 104 |
| 4.20 | Post hoc analysis – Openness personality and under graduate disciplines | 107 |
| 4.21 | Post hoc analysis – Strategic Learning and Under Graduate disciplines | 107 |
| 4.22 | Analysis of Variance across respondents of varied Post Graduate disciplines | 108 |
| 4.23 | Analysis of Variance across respondents of varied location of residence | 110 |
| 4.24 | Post hoc analysis – Conscientiousness personality and Location of residence | 112 |
| 4.25 | Post hoc analysis – Strategic Learning and Location of residence | 112 |
| 4.26 | Correlation analysis - Personality and Learning Approaches across students of under graduate disciplines | 114 |
| 4.27 | Correlation analysis-Personality and Career planning attitude across students of under graduate disciplines | 116 |
| 4.28 | Correlation analysis Learning approaches and Career planning attitude across students of under graduate disciplines | 119 |

| Table No. | Title | Page No. |
|------------------|---|-----------------|
| 4.29 | Correlation analysis- Personality and Learning Approaches across students of post graduate disciplines | 121 |
| 4.30 | Correlation analysis -Personality and Career planning attitude across students of post graduate disciplines | 123 |
| 4.31 | Correlation analysis-Learning approaches and Career planning attitude across students of post graduate disciplines | 126 |
| 4.32 | Structural Model– Influence of Personality dimensions and Learning approaches on Career Planning Attitude dimensions | 129 |
| 4.33 | Structural Model– Influence of Personality dimension, Deep, Surface and Strategic approaches to Learning on dimensions of Career Planning attitude | 133 |
| 4.34 | Structural Model– Moderating effect of Learning approaches on the relationship between Personality dimension and dimensions of Career Planning attitude | 136 |
| 4.35 | Structural Model– Moderating effect of Deep approaches to learning on the relationship between Personality dimension and dimensions of Career Planning attitude | 141 |
| 4.36 | Structural Model – Moderating effect of Surface approach to learning on the relationship between Personality dimension and dimensions of Career Planning attitude | 145 |
| 4.37 | Structural Model – Moderating effect of Strategic approach to learning on the relationship between Personality dimension and dimensions of Career Planning attitude | 149 |

List of Figures

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure No. | Title | Page No. |
|-------------------|--|-----------------|
| 2.1 | Theoretical framework – Moderating effect of Career Planning Attitude between Personality and Approaches to Learning | 61 |
| 3.1 | Criterion validity of the Constructs | 74 |
| 4.1 | Structural Model - Influence of Personality dimensions and Learning approach on dimensions of Career Planning Attitude | 130 |
| 4.2 | Structural Model - Influence of Personality dimensions, Deep, Surface and Strategic approaches to learning on dimensions of Career Planning Attitude | 134 |
| 4.3 | Structural Model - Moderating effect of Learning approaches on the relationship between Personality dimensions and dimensions of Career Planning Attitude | 138 |
| 4.4 | Structural Model - Moderating effect of Deep approaches to learning on the relationship between Personality dimensions and dimensions of Career Planning Attitude | 142 |
| 4.5 | Structural Model - Moderating effect of Surface approach to learning on the relationship between Personality dimensions and dimensions of Career Planning Attitude | 147 |
| 4.6 | Structural Model - Moderating effect of Strategic approach to learning on the relationship between Personality dimensions and dimensions of Career Planning Attitude | 151 |